Monitoring the Application of European Union Law

Annual Report 2018

Infringement cases open as of 31 December 2018



Late transposition¹ infringement cases open as of 31 December 2018



New infringement cases opened in 2018: main policy areas



New late transposition infringement cases opened in 2018



^{1.} Number of infringement cases due to failure to implement an EU Directive into national law on time.



Relevant rulings of the European Courts:

In preliminary rulings, the Court held, amongst others, that:

- The Court found that the notification by a Member State of its intention to leave the EU (Article 50 TEU) does not have as a consequence that another Member State must refuse to execute a European Arrest Warrant issued by the leaving Member State, neither must it postpone its execution pending clarification of the law that will be applicable after the withdrawal².
- A judicial authority called upon to execute a European Arrest Warrant must refrain from giving effect to it if it considers that there is a real risk that the individual concerned would suffer a breach of his fundamental right to an independent tribunal and, therefore, o f the essence of his fundamental right to a fair trial on account of deficiencies liable to affect the independence of the judiciary in the issuing Member State³.
- The rule that legal challenges by a member of the public to an environmental impact assessment procedure should not be prohibitively expensive must be broadly interpreted so that it also applies to the costs arising in a case which was ongoing at the time the deadline for transposing the Directive which introduced this rule had elapsed⁴.

4. Klohn, C-167/17.

^{2.} PPU - R O, C-327/18.

^{3.} Minister for Justice and Equality, C-216/18 and Court press release No 113/18.