Discussion of

IFI: Assessing the Fiscal Impact of Climate Transition

Friedrich Heinemann, ZEW Mannheim and University of Heidelberg

25.02.2022 – EFB Annual Conference



THE IFI PAPER...

- ... provides a systematic **overview on channels** from climate policy/climate change to public finances emphasizing **second-round effects**,
- ... discusses **risks/uncertainty of climate-related fiscal forecasts** for both short- and long-term,
- ... reports on the **current (infant) stage of climate-related fiscal forecasting** (models, resources, practice),
- ... presents (current) **best practices** from the two front runners: Dutch CPB and UK's OBR, and
- ... concludes with some **pragmatic recommendations** (e.g., multi-scenario, continuous forecasts, focus on cost estimates of mitigation).

MY COMMENTS

Long-run uncertainty of climate-related fiscal forecasts (CRFF) is qualitatively different as compared to other long-run trends (demographics).

We must be aware how the **political economy of CRFF** interacts with this uncertainty (*"*wishful thinking").

There are good arguments to focus CRFF on the short- and medium-term.

CRFF and **free-riding** of national climate policies.

CRFF should consider to **inform on implicit price of carbon of national climate strategies** as well.

ZEW COMPARING TWO LONG-RUN TRENDS

	Climate change	Demographic change
Time horizon:	Very long: coming generations	Long: next two generations
Trend is driven by	anthropogenic climate change (GHG emissions – climate – temperature – ecological/economic consequences)	low fertility combined with increasing longevity, medical progress, migration
Uncertainty relates to	biophysical mechanisms, large confidence intervals	demographic parameters, smaller confidence intervals
Long-run uncertainty on economic and fiscal consequences	very large (wrt e.g. to growth effects, fiscal costs)	more limited: We know the crucial parameters of the pension system
Do consequences depend on global policies as well:	yes, climate is a global public good	no, only weak global links (migration, global capital market)
Overall uncertainty long-run fiscal forecasts	Very high (close to "speculation")	More limited

ZEW

POLITICAL ECONOMY OF FISCAL FORECASTS

- Governments are over-optimistic on growth and on revenues.
- Partisan or election cycle motives matter as well.

Bischoff, I., and Gohout, W. (2010). The Political Economy of Tax Projections. International Tax and Public Finance. 17(2): 133-150.

Buettner, T., and Kauder, B. (2015). Political Biases Despite External Expert Participation? An Empirical Analysis of Tax Revenue Forecasts in Germany. Public Choice. 164(3-4): 287-307.

Jonung, L., Larch, M. (2006). Improving Fiscal Policy in the EU: The Case for Independent Forecasts. Economic Policy. 21(47): 491-529.

Lehmann, R., and Wollmershäuser, T. (2020). The Macroeconomic Projections of the German Government: A Comparison to an Independent Forecasting Institution. German Economic Review. 21(2): 235-270.

- This paper: "some IFIs deem the current assessments biased"; lack of interest in long-term forecasts; models that assume climate policy investment to increase growth
- Large uncertainty of CRFF offers a particularly large leeway for "wishful thinking"

The large uncertainty is a particularly compelling argument for IFIs to get involved

ARGUMENTS WHY IFIS SHOULD FOCUS CRFF ON SHORT- AND MEDIUM-RUN

- Uncertainty on long-run fiscal costs is so substantial that forecasts are close to speculation.
- Risk that IFIs lose **reputation** when they embark on this speculation.
- Huge confidence intervals leave **long-run forecasts hardly helpful** for to guide climate policy today.
- Crucial function of CRFF should be to guide public and governments on its current climate strategy and to assess the fiscal perspective over that (short and medium) phase.

ZEW

CRFF APPROACH MUST AVOID TO REWARD FREE RIDERS

- A free-riding country could largely concentrate on adaptation and "save" mitigation costs.
- This would lead to a mild short- and medium-term fiscal burden for the individual country.

CRFFs can be misleading if they inform on fiscal costs without relating it to the country's contribution to the global public good.



Source: 21 Century Tech Blog

CRFF COULD CONSIDER TO ASSESS THE IMPLICIT PRICE OF CARBON IN GOVERNMENT STRATEGIES

The paper rightly observes:

- Political economy pressures: choice of politically attractive but inefficient climate policy choices.
- Important that climate transition measures are timely, well-targeted and costefficient.

But one conclusion is missing:

- Relate fiscal costs to emission reduction quantify a country's climate policy strategy's implicit price of carbon.
- Fiscal forecasts would then also be informative **on a country's climate policy efficiency**.
- Looking at fiscal costs in relation to emission reduction also indispensable to **identify free-riding countries**.