ANNEX 3 TO THE TERMS OF REFERENCE

QUALITY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

For an evaluation to be successful it is important that the contractor demonstrates ability to fulfil the requirements in the offer. The quality of the evaluation report will be assessed using the following criteria:

1) Relevance Does the evaluation respond to information needs, in particular as expressed in the terms of references? 2) Appropriate design Is the design of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? 3) Reliable data Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? Do findings follow logically based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? Image: specified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? Image: specified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial?		Poor	Satisfact ory	Good	Very good	Excellent
needs, in particular as expressed in the terms of references?	1) Relevance					
references? Image: Constraint of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? Image: Constraint of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? 3) Reliable data Image: Constraint of the evaluation adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? Image: Constraint of the evaluation adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Image: Constraint of the evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? Image: Constraint of the evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Image: Constraint of the evaluation of the evaluation analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? Image: Constraint of the evaluation of the	Does the evaluation respond to information					
2) Appropriate design Is the design of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? Image: Content of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? 3) Reliable data Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? Image: Content of the evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? Image: Content of the evaluation questions and cover other information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity Image: Content option options realistic and impartial?						
Is the design of the evaluation adequate for obtaining the results needed to answer the evaluation questions? 3) Reliable data Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial?						
obtaining the results needed to answer the						
evaluation questions?Image: state system and have their reliability been ascertained? 3) Reliable data Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained?Image: state system ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner?Image: state systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational?Image: state systematical state state state systematical state						
3) Reliable data Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity						
Are data collected adequate for their intended use and have their reliability been ascertained? 4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity	1					
and have their reliability been ascertained?Image: Constraint of the sector						
4) Sound analysis Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner? 5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity						
Are data systematically analysed to answer evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner?Image: Constraint of the systematical	*					
evaluation questions and cover other information needs in a valid manner?5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational?6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings?7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial?8) Clarity						
needs in a valid manner?5) Credible findingsDo findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational?6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings?7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial?8) Clarity						
5) Credible findings Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity						
Do findings follow logically from and are justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational?Image: Conclusion of the second seco						
justified by, the data/information analysis and interpretations based on pre-established criteria and rational? 6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity						
interpretations based on pre-established criteria						
and rational?						
6) Valid conclusions Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? 7) Helpful recommendations Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity Image: Clarity mathematical states are areas and states are areas and states are areas and states are areas and states area and states are areas and states are areas area area area area area ar						
Are conclusions non-biased and fully based on findings? Image: Conclusion of the second s						
findings?						
7) Helpful recommendations	-					
Are areas needing improvements identified in coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? Image: Concent of the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity Image: Concent option opticant opticant option option option option option option						
coherence with the conclusions? Are the suggested options realistic and impartial? Image: Conclusion of the suggested options realistic and impartial? 8) Clarity Image: Conclusion of the suggested options realistic and impartial?						
suggested options realistic and impartial?						
8) Clarity						
	Is the report well structured, balanced and written					
in an understandable manner?						

NB: This checklist is subject to change, as the Commission may adapt its procedure