

Annual Rule of Law  
Report - Council of  
Europe input

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

2021

**Directorate General Human Rights and Rule of Law (DG I)**

Latvia



# I Justice System

## A. Independence

### CEPEJ

CEPEJ – Country profile Latvia - Scoreboard (2019 data) (*content of the link will be available after acceptance of the CEPEJ Study for the Scoreboard by DG-Just*)

[CEPEJ Country Profiles Latvia Scoreboard](#)

CEPEJ European Judicial Systems Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 2 Country profile Latvia

[CEPEJ Evaluation Report - Country Profiles – Latvia](#)

CEPEJ website – General Country profile Latvia (including answers to the Evaluation Scheme)

[General CEPEJ Country profile](#)

European Judicial Systems CEPEJ Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 1 Tables, graphs and analysis

[CEPEJ Evaluation Report 2020 Part 1](#)

CEPEJ Dynamic database of European judicial systems

[CEPEJ-STAT](#)

### 1. Appointment and selection of judges and prosecutors

#### GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

#### GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

### 2. Irremovability of judges, including transfers of judges and dismissal

#### GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

#### GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement



4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors  
3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

### 3. Promotion of judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

### 4. Allocation of cases in courts

GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

### 5. Independence (including composition and nomination of its members), and powers of the body tasked with safeguarding the independence of the judiciary (e.g. Council for the Judiciary)

GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding



## 6. Accountability of judges and prosecutors, including disciplinary regime and ethical rules

### GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

### GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

## 7. Remuneration/bonuses for judges and prosecutors

## 8. Independence/autonomy of the prosecution service

### GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

### GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

## 9. Independence of the Bar (chamber/association of lawyers)

## 10. Significant developments capable of affecting the perception that the general public has of the independence of the judiciary

### GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

### GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding



## 11. Other - please specify

PACE

Democracies facing the Covid-19 pandemic

Rapporteur : Mr Ian LIDDELL-GRAINGER, United Kingdom, EC/DA

Doc. 15157

Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE)

Statement by the President of the CCJE on the role of judges during and in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons and challenges (24 June 2020)

<https://rm.coe.int/ccje-2020-2-statement-of-the-ccje-president-3-lessons-and-challenges-c/16809ed060>

Opinion No. 23 (2020) of the CCJE on the role of associations of judges in supporting judicial independence (6 November 2020)

<https://rm.coe.int/opinion-23-en-ccje-2020/1680a03d4b>

Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE)

Opinion No. 15 (2020) of the CCPE on the role of prosecutors in emergency situations, in particular when facing a pandemic (19 November 2020)

<https://rm.coe.int/opinion-no-15-ccpe-en/1680a05a1b>

GRECO

9 December 2020 – International Anti-Corruption Day - Newsroom (coe.int)

GRECO President: “No quarter to corruption in healthcare, governments must lead by example”

CPT

Report on the CPT’s 2016 visit, [CPT/Inf \(2017\) 16](#), paras. 28 and 29

New Section 60.2 has been incorporated into the Code of Criminal Procedure. Mentions all fundamental safeguards, including right to access lawyer.

However, as during previous visits, allegations of informal questioning without lawyer (+ some allegations of threats and physical ill-treatment during such informal questioning).

## B. Quality of justice

CEPEJ

CEPEJ – Country profile Latvia - Scoreboard (2019 data) (*content of the link will be available after acceptance of the CEPEJ Study for the Scoreboard by DG-Just*)

[CEPEJ Country Profiles Latvia Scoreboard](#)

CEPEJ European Judicial Systems Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 2 Country profile Latvia

[CEPEJ Evaluation Report - Country Profiles – Latvia](#)

CEPEJ website – General Country profile Latvia (including answers to the Evaluation Scheme)

[General CEPEJ Country profile](#)

European Judicial Systems CEPEJ Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 1 Tables, graphs and analysis

[CEPEJ Evaluation Report 2020 Part 1](#)

CEPEJ Dynamic database of European judicial systems

[CEPEJ-STAT](#)



## 12. Accessibility of courts (e.g. court fees, legal aid)

### CEPEJ

The CEPEJ conducted the project “**Strengthening the access to justice in Latvia through fostering mediation and legal aid services, as well as support to the development of judicial policies and to increased quality of court management**”. More information can be found on <https://www.coe.int/en/web/cepej/strengthening-the-access-to-justice-in-latvia> . The project is composed of 3 components.

As to the mediation component, it provided the following assistance:

- The *Assessment Report & First Recommendations* contained three main parts: (i.) the current state of play of Mediation in Latvia, (ii.) Alternative examples drawn from other European member states (iii.) Initial recommendations addressed to the Latvian authorities.

- The *Pilot Project Final Report* compiles the results of the Mediation Pilot Project which was fully designed run and monitored through the Project, from September 2020 to March 2021. The Mediation Pilot Project was experimenting the efficiency of services provided by mediation coordinators in Pilot courts, and aimed at assessing the impact of mediation co-financing on the increase of interest in the use of mediation in categories of civil cases.

As to the legal aid component, it provided the following assistance:

- Expert needs assessment report and first recommendations for the current legal aid mechanism in Latvia, focusing in particular on preliminary legal aid and free legal aid. This report based its findings on an analysis of the legislative framework around legal aid and surveys of legal aid professionals and judges. Following a comparative study of best practices in legal aid around Europe, and a pilot project experimenting with the “One Stop Service” model in two locations and serving over 600 walk-in clients totally free of charge, the report concludes by issuing recommendations on how to improve legal aid in Latvia. In addition, a full evaluation report of the pilot is annexed to this document.

## 13. Resources of the judiciary (human/financial)

## 14. Use of assessment tools and standards (e.g. ICT systems for case management, court statistics, monitoring, evaluation, surveys among court users or legal professionals)

## 15. Other - please specify

### CEPEJ

In its third component regarding efficiency and quality of justice, the project has provided the following documents:

- *Expert review of existing Latvian Communication strategy, guidelines on communication and handbook at court level, and provision of recommendations for improvement and alignment with CEPEJ Guide on communication*
- *Expert evaluation of the progress achieved by Latvia regarding digitisation of courts and judicial proceedings and in relation to CEPEJ cyberjustice tools*

## C. Efficiency of the justice system

### CEPEJ

CEPEJ – Country profile Latvia - Scoreboard (2019 data) (content of the link will be available after acceptance of the CEPEJ Study for the Scoreboard by DG-Just)

[CEPEJ Country Profiles Latvia Scoreboard](#)

CEPEJ European Judicial Systems Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 2 Country profile Latvia



[CEPEJ Evaluation Report - Country Profiles – Latvia](#)

CEPEJ website – General Country profile Latvia (including answers to the Evaluation Scheme)  
[General CEPEJ Country profile](#)

European Judicial Systems CEPEJ Evaluation Report – Evaluation cycle 2018-2020 (2018 data) – Part 1 Tables, graphs and analysis

[CEPEJ Evaluation Report 2020 Part 1](#)

CEPEJ Dynamic database of European judicial systems

[CEPEJ-STAT](#)

GRECO

<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

16. Length of proceedings

17. Enforcement of judgements

18. Other - please specify

CEPEJ

In its third component regarding efficiency and quality of justice, the project has provided the following documents:

- Experts guidance on the CEPEJ-recommended methodologies and tools related to case-weighting, dashboards, time frames, judicial statistics, performance indicators, quality measurements, and their evaluation, was provided with a view to assessing the impact of judicial reforms and increasing the efficiency of judiciary.

European Court of Human Rights - country profile

[https://echr.coe.int/Documents/CP\\_Latvia\\_ENG.pdf](https://echr.coe.int/Documents/CP_Latvia_ENG.pdf)

Execution of Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights - country factsheets

<https://rm.coe.int/1680709754>

## II Anti-corruption framework

Where previous specific reports, published in the framework of the review under the UN Convention against Corruption, of GRECO, and of the OECD address the issues below, please make a reference to the points you wish to bring to the Commission's attention in these documents, indicating any relevant updates that have occurred since these documents were published.



## A. The institutional framework capacity to fight against corruption (prevention and investigation / prosecution)

GRECO

Guidelines addressed to GRECO 50 Member States

[Corruption Risks and Useful Legal References in the context of COVID-19](#)

[Published 21/04/2020](#)

Office of the Commissioner for Human Rights

[Corruption undermines human rights and the rule of law - Human Rights Comments - Commissioner for Human Rights \(coe.int\) – published on 19 January 2021](#)

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

19. List of relevant authorities (e.g. national agencies, bodies) in charge of prevention detection, investigation and prosecution of corruption. Where possible, please indicate the resources allocated to these (the human, financial, legal, and practical resources as relevant).

## B. Prevention

GRECO

Guidelines addressed to GRECO 50 Member States

[Corruption Risks and Useful Legal References in the context of COVID-19](#)

[Published 21/04/2020](#)

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

20. Integrity framework: asset disclosure rules, lobbying, revolving doors and general transparency of public decision-making (including public access to information)

21. Rules on preventing conflict of interests in the public sector

22. Measures in place to ensure Whistle-blower protection and encourage reporting of corruption

23. List the sectors with high-risks of corruption in your Member State and list the relevant measures taken/envisaged for preventing corruption in these sectors. (e.g. public procurement, healthcare, other).

24. Any other relevant measures to prevent corruption in public and private sector

## C. Repressive measures

GRECO



<https://rm.coe.int/fifth-evaluation-round-preventing-corruption-and-promoting-integrity-i/1680a1022a>

5<sup>th</sup> round: Compliance report corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement agencies

<https://rm.coe.int/fourth-evaluation-round-corruption-prevention-in-respect-of-members-of/1680a10227>

4<sup>th</sup> round: addendum to the second compliance report corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

GRECO

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/greco/evaluations/latvia>

5<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of central government, including the top executive functions, and law enforcement

4<sup>th</sup> round: corruption prevention in respect of MPs, judges and prosecutors

3<sup>rd</sup> round: incrimination and transparency of Party Funding

25. Criminalisation of corruption and related offences,

26. Overview of application of sanctions (criminal and non-criminal) for corruption offences (including for legal persons)

27. Potential obstacles to investigation and prosecution of high-level and complex corruption cases (e.g. political immunity regulation)

### III Media pluralism

#### A. Media regulatory authorities and bodies

28. Independence, enforcement powers and adequacy of resources of media authorities and bodies

Relevant recommendation of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe to member states:

[Recommendation Rec \(2000\) 23 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the independence and functions of regulatory authorities for the broadcasting sector](#)

29. Conditions and procedures for the appointment and dismissal of the head / members of the collegiate body of media authorities and bodies

#### B. Transparency of media ownership and government interference

30. The transparent allocation of state advertising (including any rules regulating the matter)

31. Public information campaigns on rule of law issues (e.g. on judges and prosecutors, journalists, civil society)

Office of the Commissioner for Human Rights

[Time to take action against SLAPPs - Human Rights Comments - Commissioner for Human Rights \(coe.int\)](#) – published on 27 October 2020

32. Rules governing transparency of media ownership

Relevant recommendation of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe to member states:

[Recommendation CM/Rec\(2018\)1 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on media pluralism and transparency of media ownership](#)

PACE



The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on human rights and the rule of law  
(Rapporteur : [Mr Vladimir VARDANYAN](#), Armenia, EPP/CD)

[Doc. 15139](#) / See also the [Opinion](#) by the Committee on Culture, Science, Education and Media on the  
aforementioned report.

### C. Framework for journalists' protection

33. Rules and practices guaranteeing journalist's independence and safety and protecting  
journalistic and other media activity from interference by state authorities

34. Law enforcement capacity to ensure journalists' safety and to investigate attacks on  
journalists

Relevant recommendation of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe to member states:

[Recommendation CM/Rec\(2016\)4 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection of  
journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors](#)

35. Access to information and public documents

Office of the Commissioner for Human Rights

[Access to official documents is crucial – let's make it a reality - Human Rights Comments - Commissioner for  
Human Rights \(coe.int\)](#) – published on 1 December 2020

36. Other - please specify

Office of the Commissioner for Human Rights

[Tapping the full potential of Equality Bodies for a fairer Europe Tapping the full potential of Equality Bodies for  
a fairer Europe - Human Rights Comments - Commissioner for Human Rights \(coe.int\)](#) – published on 26 June  
2020

Platform to promote the protection of journalism and safety of journalists

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/media-freedom/latvia>

Freedom of expression chapters of the annual reports of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe  
featuring indicators on media pluralism and transparency of ownership, media independence and safety of  
journalists as well as country-specific assessments:

2018

<https://rm.coe.int/state-of-democracy-human-rights-and-the-rule-of-law-role-of-institutio/168086c0c5>

2017

<https://edoc.coe.int/en/an-overview/7345-pdf-state-of-democracy-human-rights-and-the-rule-of-law.html>

2016

[https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result\\_details.aspx?ObjectId=0900001680646af8](https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result_details.aspx?ObjectId=0900001680646af8)

2015

[https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result\\_details.aspx?ObjectID=090000168058e01e](https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result_details.aspx?ObjectID=090000168058e01e)

## IV Other institutional issues related to checks and balances



## A. The process for preparing and enacting laws

37. Stakeholders'/public consultations (particularly consultation of judiciary on judicial reforms), transparency of the legislative process, rules and use of fast-track procedures and emergency procedures (for example, the percentage of decisions adopted through emergency/urgent procedure compared to the total number of adopted decisions).

38. Regime for constitutional review of laws

The European Commission for Democracy through Law – Venice Commission

CDL-AD(2009)042 English 13/10/2009 - Public

[Opinion on Draft Amendments to the Law on the Constitutional Court of Latvia adopted by the Venice Commission at its 80th Plenary Session \(Venice, 9-10 October 2009\)](#)

CDL-AD(2002)026 English 29/10/2002 - Public

[Opinion on the Draft Law on Judicial Power and Corresponding Constitutional Amendments of Latvia](#)

CDL(2001)004 English 22/01/2001 - Public

[The Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Latvia passed at the Saeima on September 11, 1997, with the amendments to take effect on January 1, 2001](#)

## B. Independent authorities

39. independence, capacity and powers of national human rights institutions, ombudsman institutions and equality bodies

## C. Accessibility and judicial review of administrative decisions

40. modalities of publication of administrative decisions and scope of judicial review

The European Commission for Democracy through Law – Venice Commission

[CDL-AD\(2020\)012-e, Latvia - Opinion on the recent amendments to the Legislation on Education in Minority Languages, adopted by the Venice Commission on 18 June 2020 by a written procedure replacing the 123rd Plenary Session](#)

[https://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-AD\(2020\)012-e](https://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-AD(2020)012-e)

41. implementation by the public administration and State institutions of final court decisions

## D. The enabling framework for civil society

42. Measures regarding the framework for civil society organizations

43. Other - please specify

Expert Council on NGO Law report on criminalization of NGO activity in relation to migration and a compendium of developments 2017-2019 in NGO law, freedom of association

<https://rm.coe.int/expert-council-conf-exp-2020-1-ngos-developments-in-standards-mechanis/16809ccd3a>

<https://rm.coe.int/expert-council-conf-exp-2019-1-criminal-law-ngo-restrictions-migration/1680996969>

Private Office procedure on human rights defenders interacting with the Council of Europe

[https://www.coe.int/en/web/secretary-general/news-2019-thorbjorn-jagland/-/asset\\_publisher/9j1gCsAwfdMt/content/revised-private-office-procedure-on-human-rights-defenders-interacting-with-the-council-of-europe](https://www.coe.int/en/web/secretary-general/news-2019-thorbjorn-jagland/-/asset_publisher/9j1gCsAwfdMt/content/revised-private-office-procedure-on-human-rights-defenders-interacting-with-the-council-of-europe)



CPT

Report on CPT's 2016 periodic visit; [CPT/Inf \(2017\) 16](#) paragraphs 17-22 (on the setting up of the Internal Security Bureau meant as new investigating body)